

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/029,024	12/28/2001	Kurtis Chad Kelley	8350.0553-00	8350.0553-00 3804		
58982	7590 05/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CATERPILLAR/FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, L.L.P. 901 New York Avenue WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, and the second			3748			
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
<i>r</i> .	
"	4

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/029,024	KELLEY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tu M. Nguyen	3748

	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid a affidavit, or other evic n compliance with 37	lence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	-	th in the final rejection.	whichever is later. Ir
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final reje	ection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 79 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		1 136(a) and the approx	riata autonaian faa
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final (	opriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of	
AMENDMENTS	hara e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	· 6 - 20 4 b 4	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N		Decause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	reducing or simplifyir	ng the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	eiected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amend	ment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and a	n explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will avit or other evidence	not be entered a sis necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant	fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	vance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.		<i>_</i>	_
	_	Tu M. No	juyen
		TU M. Ng 4/29/06	V

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/029,024** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: An amendment to base claim 1 has been proposed to further claim "an electrode having a non-alternating polarization". The amendments to base claims 6 and 10 have been proposed to further claim an entire gas stream to be charged with a positive charge or a negative charge. These proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding base claim 1, applicant's arguments are most because the examiner did not combine the main reference of Hirth with any secondary reference. In addition, the examiner has provided a sound and reasonable motivation to apply the method and apparatus of Hirth to the art of exhaust gas aftertreatment to remove harmful soot and unburned HC from an exhaust gas stream of an internal combustion engine.